

EFFECT OF POLYZYME® ON THE GROWTH RESPONSE OF BROILER CHICKEN FED SWEET ORANGE PEEL MEAL BASED DIETS

Ogwiji O.¹, Patrick J.A.² and Omede U.D.³

¹ Lecturer, Dept. of Animal Health and Production Technology, School of Agriculture, Akperan Orshi Polytechnic, Yandev, Gboko Benue State, Naigeria

² & ³ Sr. Lecturer, Dept. of Agricultural Science Education, Federal College of Education Odugbo, Benue State, Nigeria
Email : ugbedeomede@fcedugbo.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

The study evaluated the effect of Polyzyme® an exogenous enzyme on the growth response of Broiler Chicken fed Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) peel meal. 300-day-old broiler chicks were randomly grouped into five (5) dietary treatments D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 of 60 chicks each. Each of the dietary treatments was further divided into two, one has enzyme and the other did not have. Each treatment was replicated 3 times with 10 birds per replicate. The experiment is a two by four factorial arrangement in a completely randomized design. Data was taken on feed intake, weight gain, feed conversion ratio and mortality. There was no significant difference between the treatment means for feed in take average weight gain (AWG) feed conversion ratio (FCR) and mortality. This result suggested that the inclusion of SOP with enzyme (Polyzyme®) in broiler chicken diet did not have any noticeable negative difference on the performance of broiler chicken. The use of polyzyme® appears to improve the performance. More research is recommended to establish the best level of inclusion.

Keywords : broiler chicken, performance, polyzyme, sweet orange peel (SOP) and mortality.

INTRODUCTION

The need to bridge the gap between protein demand and supply in Nigeria and the Sub-Saharan Africa has remained a long-standing challenge for nutritionists in particular and animal scientists in general. One of the major reasons for the low level of animal protein intake in the tropics and in Nigeria in particular is because livestock production is not keeping pace with human population growth rate put at 2.6% (UNDESA, 2017). Another critical reason is the scarcity of conventional feed stuffs (energy and protein sources) for monogastric animal feeding and consequently the cost of livestock feed. In Nigeria, feed cost is estimated to be about 70% of the total cost of intensive livestock production. The search for alternative feed resources which are less competitive, affordable, and readily available and can be efficiently converted by farm animals to meat and other consumable animal products for the enhancement of animal production has therefore become of great interest (Oluremi et al., 2008).

The poultry sector in Nigeria if properly harnessed has the potential of improving the nation's economy and the health status of the poor populace by providing affordable source of protein (Akinola, 2009). Nutrition and disease are the major limiting factors in poultry production. Availability of quality feed at a reasonable cost is therefore the key to

successful poultry production. Poultry especially broiler, are excellent feed converters and do not suffer social infringements on consumer acceptability like other livestock species such as pig. In spite of the aforementioned benefits derived from poultry, the ever-increasing cost of products such as meat and egg makes it imperative to explore the use of alternative feed ingredient that are cheaper, locally available and of low human preference in poultry feed formulation (Ani *et al.*, 2015). One of such alternatives is the sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) peels. A number of residue materials like peels, pulp, rag and seed are produced when fresh citrus fruits are processed into juice, concentrates and canned fruits in developed countries (Chapman *et al.*, 2000). The use of exogenous enzyme has been considered by some researchers (Alehzadeh *et al.*, 2016), but literatures are scarce on the use of exogenous enzymes to treat sweet orange peel meal in animal feeding. This study is therefore designed to investigate the effect of exogenous enzyme (Polyzyme®) on the utilization of sweet orange peel meal by broiler chicken.

OBJECTIVE

To know the effect of polyzyme® on the growth response of broiler chicken fed sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*) peel meal based diets

METHODOLOGY

The experimental was carried out at the Poultry Unit in the Department of Animal Husbandry, School of Animal Technology, Akperan Orshi Polytechnic, Yandev, Gboko, Benue State, Nigeria. Three hundred day-old broiler chicks was obtained from a reputable hatchery. The birds were randomly grouped into five (5) dietary treatments D1, D2, D3, D4 and D5 of 60 chicks each. Each of the dietary treatments was further divided into two, one have enzyme

and the other did not have. Each treatment was replicated 3 times with 10 birds per replicate. The experiment is a two by four factorial arrangement in a completely randomized design. Data was taken on feed intake, body weight gain, feed conversion ratio and mortality. All data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures in the SPSS version 16.0 (March 8, 2008). Where significant difference exists, means were separated according to the procedure of the Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table 1 : Gross composition of the starter broiler diet

Ingredients	Experimental Diets				
	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5
SOP*	-	5.50	11.00	16.50	22.00
Soybean meal	34.00	34.00	34.00	34.00	34.00
Bone ash	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30	2.30
Limestone	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fish meal	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
Rice offal	3.30	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50
Common salt	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
L-lysine	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
DL-methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Premix**	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Calculated composition					
Crude protein (%)	22.73	22.75	21.77	22.78	22.80
ME (Kcal/Kg)	2903.98	2891.22	2878.46	2865.70	2852.94
Crude Fibre (%)	4.14	4.63	5.12	5.61	6.11
Methionine (%)	0.76	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.71
Lysine (%)	1.47	1.46	1.45	1.43	1.42
Calcium (%)	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51
Phosphorus (%)	0.86	0.84	0.83	0.81	0.80

D1 – Control, D2 – 10% SOP, D3 – 20% SOP, D4 – 30% SOP, D5 – 40% SOP , SOP - Sweet Orange Peel

** Premix Bio-Mix® supplied per tonne; Vit A 5,000,000 I.U., Vit D3 1,000,000 I.U., Vit E 20,000mg, Vit K3 100mg; Vit B1 1200mg, Vit B12 10mg; Folic acid 400mg; Chlorine Chloride 120,000mg; Manganese 40,000mg; Iron 20,000mg; Zinc 18,000; Copper 800mg; Cobalt 100mg, Iodine 620mg, Selenium 40mg.

Table 2: Gross composition of the finisher broiler diet

Ingredients	Experimental diets				
	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5
Maize	57.00	51.30	45.60	39.90	34.20
SOP*	-	5.23	11.40	17.10	22.80
Soybean meal	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00	32.00
Bone ash	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
Limestone	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Fish meal	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50
Rice offal	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05	4.05

Ingredients	Experimental Diets				
	D1	D2	D3	D4	D5
Common salt	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
L-lysine	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
DL-methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Premix**	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Calculated composition					
Crude protein (%)	21.43	21.45	21.46	21.48	21.50
ME (Kcal/Kg)	2908.61	2891.22	2878.46	2865.70	2852.94
Crude Fibre (%)	4.14	4.63	5.12	5.61	6.11
Methionine (%)	0.76	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.71
Lysine (%)	1.47	1.46	1.45	1.43	1.42
Calcium (%)	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51	1.51
Phosphorus (%)	0.86	0.84	0.83	0.81	0.80

D1 – Control, D2 – 10% SOP, D3 – 20% SOP, D4 – 30% SOP, D5 – 40% SOP, SOP – Sweet Orange Peel ** Premix Bio-Mix® supplied per tonne; Vit A 5,000,000 I.U., Vit D3 1,000,000 I.U., Vit E 20,000mg, Vit K3 1000mg; Vit B1 1200mg, Vit B2 2400mg; Vit B6 2400mg, Niacin 16,000mg; Calcium Pantothenate 4,000mg, Biotin 32mg; Vit B12 10mg; Folic acid 400mg; Chlorine Chloride 120,000mg; Manganese 40,000mg; Iron 20,000mg; Zinc 18,000; Copper 800mg; Cobalt 100mg, Iodine 620mg, Selenium 40mg.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Results of the interaction effect of dietary sweet orange peel (SOP) by enzyme (Polyzyme®) supplementation on performance indices of broiler chickens are presented in table 3.

Table 3: Interaction effect of dietary sweet orange peel (SOP) by enzyme (Polyzyme®) supplementation on performance indices of broiler chickens

SOP X/ Enzyme	Average feed intake (AVFI)	Average Weight gain (AVWG)	Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR)	Mortality (MTLY)
0% SOP 0g (Polyzyme) T ₁	5921.36 ^{ab}	2392.67 ^a	2.50 ^a	0.67 ^a
40g (Polyzyme) T ₂	7079.23 ^{ab}	2398.00 ^a	2.98 ^a	1.67 ^a
10% SOP 0g(Polyzyme) T ₃	5441.68 ^b	2243.00 ^a	2.44 ^a	0.33 ^a
40g(Polyzyme) T ₄	6112.41 ^{ab}	2381.67 ^a	2.60 ^a	1.00 ^a
20% SOP 0g(Polyzyme) T ₅	6067.31 ^{ab}	2081.67 ^a	2.96 ^a	1.33 ^a
40g(Polyzyme) T ₆	6009.79 ^{ab}	2460.00 ^a	2.45 ^a	1.00 ^a
30%SOP (Polyzyme) T ₇	6333.50 ^{ab}	2455.67	2.57 ^a	0.67 ^a
40g (Polyzyme) T ₈	5528.31 ^b	2104.00 ^a	2.82 ^a	0.33 ^a
40% Sop (Polyzyme) T ₉	6554.80 ^{ab}	2293.00 ^a	2.85 ^a	1.33 ^a
40G (Polyzyme) T ₁₀	5790.94 ^{ab}	2637.33 ^a	2.20 ^a	0.00 ^a
SEM	579078.129	121199.467	0.204	1.333
P	0.110	0.106	0.082	0.141
LS	S	NS	NS	NS

SEM = Standard Error of Mean, P = Probability Value, LS = Level of Significance, S – Significant (P>0.05), NS = Not Significant (P<0.05), ab = means of the same column with different superscripts are significantly (P>0.05) different, T1 – T10 = Treatments 1 – 100% - 40% = Percentage inclusion of SOP, 0g = no enzyme inclusion, 40g = 40g enzyme inclusion

There was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the treatment means of the average feeds intake (AFI) between the treatment means. This result is in agreement with the result of Abbasi *et al* (2014) who record significance in feed intake at the finishing stage. Also Oluremi *et al* (2017) reported significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in treatment means although an exogenous enzyme was not included in the diet. There is no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) in the treatment means between the treatments for average weight gain (AWG) feed

conversion Ratio (FCR) and mortality. Report showed that average feed consumption of group fed the diet supplemented with 1.5% DSOP in starter phase developed in a better way during the whole rearing period and incorporation up to 3% DSOP in the mixture resulted in the lower feed intake reflected by a decreasing growth and by a much higher feed conversion ratio. Some other researchers have reported similar results Oluremi *et al*, 2010; Ani *et al* 2015)

Table 4: Main effect of SOP or enzyme (Polyzyme®) supplementation on performance indices of broiler chickens

Parameters	SOP Level (%)							Enzyme (Polyzyme®) Level (g)			
	T ₁ (0%)	T ₂ (10%)	T ₃ (20%)	T ₄ (30%)	T ₅ (40%)	SEM	P	T ₁ (0g)	T ₂ (40g)	SEM	P
Av Feed intake	6300.298	5777.045	6038.550	5930.902	6172.870	310.664	0.552	6063.731	6104.135	196.481	0.886
Av. Wt. Gain	2395.333	2312.333	2270.833	2279.833	2465.167	142.126	0.845	2293.200	2396.200	87.889	0.427
FCR	2.738	2.522	2.703	2.695	2.523	0.184	0.858	2.665	2.608	0.117	0.735
Mortality	1.167	0.667	1.167	0.500	0.667	0.471	0.780	0.867	0.800	0.298	0.876

Av=Average Wt= weight FCR= Feed conversion Ratio SOP=Sweet Orange Peel Meal, SEM=Standard Error of Mean P=Probability Level for main effect , T1(0% sop)= Treatment 1, T2(10% sop), T3(20% sop),

This result suggested that the inclusion of SOP with enzyme (Polyzyme®) in broiler chicken diet did not have any noticeable negative difference on the performance of broiler chicken both at the starter and finisher levels. The use of polyzyme® appears to improve the performance. The non-significant difference may be as a result of the enzyme (Polyzyme®) which is known to help non-ruminant in handling high fibre in their diets. The significant difference that occurs in the average feed intake may be as a result of the somehow offensive odour of the sweet orange peel meal. But this does not affect the performance indices, average weight gain, feed conversion ratio and mortality. The non-significant difference in mortality suggests that the SOP is not harmful to the birds. As such there was no mortality that is ascribed to the inclusion of the sweet orange peels.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

CONCLUSION

This result suggested that the inclusion of SOP with enzyme (Polyzyme®) in broiler chicken diet did not have any noticeable negative difference on the performance of broiler chicken. The use of polyzyme® appears to improve the performance. More research is recommended to establish the best level of inclusion.

REFERENCES

Abbasi, H., Seidavi, A., Lin, W. and Asadpour, I. (2015). Investigation on the effect of different levels of fried Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Pulp on Performance, Carcass characteristics and Physiological and Biochemical Parameters in broiler Chicken. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences* 22(2):139-146

Abdel-Moneim, M.A., Hamady, G.A.A. and Motawe, H.F.A. (2014). The Use of Orange Waste with and without Enzymes in Broiler's Diets and its Effect on their Performance, Carcas Traits and Some Blood parameters. *Research Journal of Veterinary and Fishery Science* 2(12):14– 19

Akinola, A. (2009). Economics of Rabbit Production in Gokina Local Government Area of Rivers State, Nigeria Proc. 34th Annual Conference of Nigerian Society of Animal Production, March 15 – 18, 2009. University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Pp 70 – 72.

Alefszadeh, T., Bouyeh, M., Hoven, R.V.D., Seidavi, A.I., Laudadio, V. and Tufarelli, V. (2016). Effect of Dietary Dried Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Peel Powder and Exogenous Multi Enzymes on Growth and Carcass Traits and Ileal Microflora of Broiler Chickens. *Pakistan Journal Zoology* 48(6): 19=891 – 1897.

Ani, A.O., Iloh, E.A. and Akinsola, O.O. (2015). Dietary Effect of Processed Orange Peels on Growth Performance of Broiler Finisher Birds. *Britain Journal of Applied Science and Technology* 9(6): 576 – 583.

Chapman, H.I. Jr., Ammenman, C.B., Baler, F.S. Jr.,

- Henleges, J.F., Hages, B.W. and Cunha, J.J. (2000). Citrus Feed for Beef Cattle. Reviewed Bulletin 151 Dept. of Animal Science Florida Coop. Extension Service, Institute of Florida and agricultural Science, University of Florida, USA.
- Oluremi, O.I.A., Okafor, F.N., Adekola, A.Y. and Orayaga, K.T. (2010). Effect of Fermentation of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on its Phytonutrients and the Performance of Broiler Starter. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9(6): 546 – 549.
- Oluremi, O.I.A., Okafor, F.N., Adekola, A.Y. and Orayaga, K.T. (2017). Effect of Fermentation of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on its Phytonutrients and the Performance of Broiler Starter. *International Journal of Poultry Science* 9(6): 546 – 549.
- Oluremi, O.I.A., Mou, P.M., and Adekola, A.Y. (2008). Effect of Fermentation of Sweet Orange (*Citrus sinensis*) Fruit Peel on its Maize Replacement value in Broiler Diet. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 20(2): 1 – 3.

Received : March 2025 : Accepted : May 2025