

## **68. Scale to Measure Attitude of Sardar Sarovar Project Affected Farmers (PAFs) towards Rehabilitated Place**

**Authors:** Chinchmalatpure, U. and Mayani, V. V. (2001).

### **Statements**

1. At new rehabilitated place neighbours help to PAFs. (+)
2. In the interest of other people, the PAFs like to leave the old place. (+)
3. Economically significant progress is experienced in PAFs at new place. (+)
4. Least importance is given to develop other than agriculture at new place. (-)
5. Significant impact of policy is experienced on extra employment at new place. (+)
6. Rehabilitation package is not accomplished practically at new place. (-)
7. Subsidies to purchase agro-implements are given sufficiently to PAFs. (+)
8. Rehabilitation packages provided to PAFs do not match with Govt .recommendations (-)
9. Rehabilitation packages are the best gift of the govt. to the PAFs. (+)
10. PAFs from higher casts negatively influence in gaining financial advantages. (-)
11. Medical facilities are very good at new place. (+)
12. Higher cast PAFs affect badly to gain jobs at new place. (-)
13. Educational facilities are better at new place than old place. (+)
14. Supply of agricultural inputs is inadequate at new place. (-)
15. Because of rehabilitation, the income of the PAFs has increased. (+)
16. Expected large compensation for new house is given at new place. (+)
17. There is good provision of assistance to construct house at new place. (+)
18. I take pride in telling that I am able to take benefits at rehabilitated place. (+)
19. Rehabilitated place provides opportunity to PAFs to grow crops throughout the year. (+)
20. The crop yields are better at new place than old place. (+)

**Value of Reliability: 0.83**